

# MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF READY-TO-EAT FOODS

## ACT HEALTH PROTECTION SERVICE



JULY 2010– JUNE 2011

Report prepared by  
Radomir Krsteski and  
Simon Rockliff

## BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVE

Ready-to-Eat (RTE) food is food that is ordinarily consumed in the same condition in which it is sold or distributed and does not include nuts in the shell and whole, raw fruits and vegetables that are intended for hulling, peeling or washing by the consumers.

Sandwiches, rolls, stir-fries, baked goods as well as various other RTE foods are widely available in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT) with approximately 250 different licensed outlets. Due to the diverse nature and popularity of these foods it was considered prudent to perform ongoing surveys on these products. The survey of RTE products was undertaken for three main reasons:

1. To determine the bacteriological status of ready-to-eat food products available on the ACT market.
2. To determine the compliance of these products to Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) Guidelines for the Microbiological Examination of Ready-to-Eat Foods 2001 (FSANZ RTE Guidelines).
3. To complement and focus audits of high-risk food producing establishments.

## STANDARDS

The FSANZ RTE Guidelines identify four categories of microbiological quality ranging from satisfactory to potentially hazardous. Table 1 details the recommended guidelines. Table 1 not only reflects both the high level of microbiological quality that is achievable for RTE foods in Australia and New Zealand but also indicates the level of contamination that is considered to be a significant risk to public health.

Table 1

Test	Microbiological Quality (colony forming units per gram(cfu/g))			
	Satisfactory (S)	Marginal (M)	Unsatisfactory (U)	Potentially Hazardous (PH)
<b>Standard Plate Count (SPC)</b>				
Level 1*	<10 <sup>4</sup>	<10 <sup>5</sup>	≥10 <sup>5</sup>	
Level 2*	<10 <sup>6</sup>	<10 <sup>7</sup>	≥10 <sup>7</sup>	
Level 3*	N/A	N/A	N/A	
<b>Indicators</b>				
<i>Escherichia coli</i> ( <i>E. coli</i> )	<3	3-100	>100	**
<b>Pathogens</b>				
Coagulase positive staphylococci ( <i>Staph</i> )	<10 <sup>2</sup>	10 <sup>2</sup> -10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup> -10 <sup>4</sup>	≥10 <sup>4</sup> SET +ve
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> ( <i>B. cereus</i> )	<10 <sup>2</sup>	10 <sup>2</sup> -10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup> -10 <sup>4</sup>	≥10 <sup>4</sup>
Salmonella	not detected in 25g			detected
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> ( <i>L. monocytogenes</i> )	not detected in 25g	detected but <10 <sup>2</sup> #		≥10 <sup>2</sup> ##

**NOTE:**

\*see below "Standard Plate Counts" for definition of level.

\*\* Pathogenic strains of *E. coli* should be absent.

# Foods with a long shelf life stored under refrigeration should have no *L. monocytogenes* detected in 25g.

## The detection of *L. monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat-foods prepared specifically for “at risk” population groups (the elderly, immuno-compromised and infants) should also be considered as potentially hazardous.

SET +ve: *Staphylococcus enterotoxin* positive.

N/A – SPC testing not applicable. This applies to foods such as fresh fruits and vegetables (including salad vegetables), fermented foods and foods incorporating these (such as sandwiches and filled rolls).

Level 1 – applies to ready-to-eat foods in which all components of the food have been cooked in the manufacturing process/preparation of the final food product and, as such, microbial counts should be low i.e. fried chicken.

Level 2 – applies to ready-to-eat foods which contain some components which have been cooked and then further handled (stored, sliced or mixed) prior to preparation of the final food or where no cooking process has been used i.e. custard slice.

Level 3 – SPC not applicable. This applies to foods such as fresh fruits and vegetables (including salad vegetables), fermented foods and foods incorporating these (such as sandwiches and filled rolls). It would be expected that these foods would have an inherent high SPC because of the normal microbial flora present

An examination of the microbiological quality of a food should not be based on SPC alone. The significance of high (unsatisfactory) SPC cannot truly be made without identifying the predominant microorganisms or other microbiological testing.

## SURVEY

This survey was conducted between July 2010 and June 2011. A total of 156 samples were collected from fifty two different ACT retail outlets. A total of twenty five re-samples were also collected. The samples were randomly collected by the Public Health Officers (PHO) and processed by the Microbiology Unit of the Health Protection Service (HPS). The survey collected multiple samples from single outlets and in general outlets were only tested once.

The samples were collected in such a manner as to cover a wide range of the available ready to eat food ranging from dips to grilled chicken. All of the samples were tested for the hygiene indicators SPC and *E. coli*, and the food pathogens coagulase positive *Staphylococci*, *Salmonella* species and *L. monocytogenes*. Foods containing pasta or rice were also tested for *B. cereus*.

Where the HPS identifies non compliance issues in food businesses, corrective actions are addressed through a graduated and proportionate response. Unsatisfactory results, excluding those for SPC are re-sampled. Marginal results may be re-sampled; this is dependent on resources as these foods are still considered compliant. Unsatisfactory SPC results are not re-sampled unless pathogens are also isolated.

## MICROBIOLOGICAL METHOD OF ANALYSIS

- *Salmonella* AS 1766.2.5 – 1991 (modified).
- SPC AS 5013.5 – 2004.
- *B. cereus* AS 5013.2 – 2007.
- Coagulase positive *staphylococci* AS 5013.12.2 – 2004.
- *E. coli* ISO:16649.2 – 2001.
- *L. monocytogenes* AS 1766.2.16.1 – 1998 (modified).

The sample preparation for SPC, *E. coli*, coagulase positive *staphylococci* and *B. cereus* consisted of:

- 25g of sample being homogenised with 225mL of 0.1% peptone diluent
- subsequent serial dilutions were prepared for use in enumeration.

***B. cereus* enumeration:** Spread plates (using a 100µl of each dilution) on a solid selective medium containing egg yolk and mannitol (MYP agar). Typical large, pink colonies, with or without lecithinase action were counted and a proportion of the colonies confirmed by a haemolysis test and spore staining. *B. cereus* cells are rods 4-5 µm long and 1-1.5 µm wide and stain red. The cells contain black-stained lipid globules. The spores stain green, are ellipsoidal in shape, central to sub central in position, and do not swell the sporangium.

**Coagulase positive *Staphylococci* enumeration:** Pour plates (using 1.0 ml of each dilution) of Baird Parker medium with rabbit plasma fibrinogen added were prepared in duplicate and incubated at 37°C/48h. Typical black colonies, surrounded by a halo of precipitation, were counted.

***E. coli* enumeration:** Pour plates using 1.0 ml in each plate of TBX media were prepared and incubated at 37 degrees Celsius for 4 hours and then 44 degrees Celsius for 18-24 hours. Typical blue/green colonies were counted.

***Salmonella* detection:** 25g of sample was weighed out aseptically and homogenised with 225mL buffered peptone water (non-selective enrichment) and incubated at 37°C/16-20h. Aliquots were then transferred into Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI) and incubated for 3h. DNA was extracted from 200uL of enriched BHI. This was screened for the presence of *Salmonella* using a BAX cyber green Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and a BAX Q7. No confirmation testing was performed as there were no samples that screened positive.

**SPC:** Pour plates (using a 1.0ml of each dilution or 0.1ml at the -6 dilution) of plate count agar where incubated at 30 °C/72h. Plates from the dilution on which there are greater 15 and less than 300 colonies visible were counted. Counts outside this range were considered estimate counts only.

***L. monocytogenes* detection:** 25g of sample was weighed out aseptically and homogenised with 225mL half Fraser broth (selective enrichment) and incubated at 30°C/24h. Aliquots were then transferred into a single tube of Fraser broth incubated for 37°C/48h and MOPS BLEB broth incubated for 37°C/24h. DNA was extracted from 200uL of enriched MOPS BLEB broth. This was screened for the presence of *L. monocytogenes* using a BAX cyber green PCR and a BAX Q7. No confirmation testing was performed as there were no samples that screened positive.

## RESULTS

Test	Coagulase positive staphylococci (n=150)	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> (n=151)	<i>Salmonella</i> sp (n=154)	<i>E.coli</i> (n=156)	SPC (n=156)	<i>B. cereus</i> (n=37)
Number of marginal samples	Nil	5	N/A	3	7	1
Number of unsatisfactory samples	Nil	Nil	N/A	1	7	3
Number of Potentially Hazardous samples	Nil	Nil	Nil	N/A	N/A	2

Detailed results are tabled in [Appendix B](#).

## DISCUSSION

### SPC

All samples (156) were tested for SPC. The results for all the samples ranged between <50 and  $3.4 \times 10^8$  colony forming units per gram (cfu/g). A total of seven samples were in the marginal range (4.5% of the total SPC tests) and a total of seven samples were in the unsatisfactory range (4.5% of the total SPC tests).

Sixty five samples were assessed as being in the Level 1 category. The results for these products ranged from <50 to  $4.3 \times 10^6$  cfu/g. Five samples (7.7% of the total level 1 SPC tests) were in the marginal category. Four samples (6.2% of the total level 1 SPC tests) were in the unsatisfactory category: roasted pumpkin quiche, vegetable samosa, beef lasagne and pork dumpling. High SPC for cooked products suggests that the handling or storage of these foods may have been less than optimal. No re-samples were taken of these foods as no pathogens or *E. coli* were detected at the time of testing.

Twenty six samples were assessed as being in the level 2 category. The results for these products ranged from <50 to  $1.6 \times 10^6$  cfu/g. Two samples were in the marginal category (7.7% of the total level 2 SPC tests). Three samples (11.5% of the total level 2 SPC tests) were in the unsatisfactory category: Greek burek, chocolate mousse and beef brisket. High SPC for cooked products suggests that the handling or storage of these foods may have been less than optimal. No re-samples were taken of these foods as no pathogens or *E. coli* were detected at the time of testing.

A total of sixty five samples were assessed as applying to the Level 3 SPC criterion. The SPC test is not applicable to these products. The results for these products ranged from 100 to  $3.4 \times 10^8$  cfu/g.

### ***E. coli***

All samples (156) were tested for *E. coli*. The presence of *E. coli* in RTE foods is undesirable because it indicates that the food has possibly been prepared under poor hygienic conditions. Ideally *E. coli* should not be detected and as such a level of <3 cfu/g has been set for satisfactory samples. One hundred and fifty two (97.4%) samples tested in this survey had <3 cfu/g of *E. coli* and met the satisfactory criterion. There were three (1.9%) samples in the marginal category. Two of the marginal samples (chicken sushi roll and salmon sushi roll) were re-sampled as they were positive for *L. monocytogenes*. Follow-up action and five re-samples were taken including other products from the same premises that reported the unsatisfactory result. All the re-samples reported satisfactory results.

There was one (0.6%) sample (vegetable rice salad) in the unsatisfactory category. Five re-samples were taken including other products from the same premises and were tested for *E. coli* and all of the pathogens in Table 1. Four of the re-samples reported satisfactory results and one marginal result (tomato, cucumber, onion couscous) for *E. coli* and satisfactory results for the other tests. The premises was advised of corrective action that could be taken to improve food preparation and handling.

The detection of *E. coli* in foods is not a direct indication that the food is unsafe rather it is an indication of potential problems involving the preparing and handling of foods.

### **Coagulase positive *Staphylococci***

One hundred and fifty samples were tested for coagulase positive *Staphylococci*. All samples tested in this survey had met the satisfactory criterion i.e. <100 cfu/g. Six samples were not tested due testing media not being available.

### ***Salmonella***

*Salmonella* was not detected in any of the 154 samples tested; two samples were not tested due insufficient sample size. RTE foods should be free of *Salmonella* as consumption of food containing this pathogen may result in food borne illness. All RTE foods are tested for the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in 25g.

### ***Listeria monocytogenes***

Foods in which all components have been cooked in the final food preparation, or have received some other listericidal treatment, should be free of *L. monocytogenes*. The detection of *L. monocytogenes* in such foods indicates the food was inadequately prepared or the food was contaminated post preparation. The detection of higher levels (>10<sup>2</sup> cfu/g) of *L. monocytogenes* in RTE foods indicates a failure of food handling controls and is also considered a public health risk.

All RTE foods are tested for the presence of *L. monocytogenes* in 25g. If *L. monocytogenes* is detected PHO will inspect the premises and collect a resample of the food item if available. This re-sample will be tested semi-quantitatively to measure the level of *L. monocytogenes* in the food.

One hundred and fifty one samples were analysed for *L. monocytogenes*. *L. monocytogenes* was found in five samples (3.3%). Five samples were not tested due testing media not being available. Two samples from one premises and three

from another premises reported positive results for *L. monocytogenes*. Follow-up action and five re-samples were taken for the two positive samples of chicken sushi roll and salmon sushi roll from the first premises. All the re-samples reported satisfactory results from this particular premises. Follow-up action and five re-samples were taken for the three positive samples of chicken sushi roll, salmon sushi roll and beef sushi roll from the second premises. All of these re-samples reported satisfactory results for *L. monocytogenes*.

***B. cereus* (Tested for in RTE foods containing rice only)**

Thirty seven samples containing rice or pasta were tested for *B. cereus*. Thirty one samples (83.8%) tested were satisfactory reporting counts of less than 100cfu/g. There was one sample (2.7%) samples in the marginal category. There were three (8.1%) samples (inari roll, chicken sushi roll and salmon sushi roll) from two premises in the unsatisfactory category and two (5.4%) samples (beef sushi roll and vegetable rice salad) from two premises in the potentially hazardous category. Beef sushi roll, chicken sushi roll and salmon sushi roll where from the same premises, inari roll and vegetable rice salad was from two other premises.

Follow-up action and five re-samples were taken for the vegetable rice salad sample including other products from the same premises and were tested for *B. cereus* and all of the pathogens listed in Table 1. All of the re-samples reported satisfactory results for *B. cereus* of less than 100cfu/g and satisfactory results for the other tests.

Follow-up action and five re-samples were taken for the inari roll sample including other products from the same premises and were tested for *B. cereus* and all of the pathogens listed in Table 1. All of the re-samples reported satisfactory results for *B. cereus* of less than 100cfu/g and satisfactory results for the other tests except one follow-up sample (salmon sushi roll) reported an unsatisfactory *E. coli* result and another sample reported (seaweed sushi) a marginal *E. coli* result. A further five re-samples were conducted with four re-samples reporting marginal results for *E. coli* and one reporting an unsatisfactory for *E. coli*. Two of the samples also reported marginal result for *B. cereus* and another reported a marginal result for coagulase positive *Staphylococci*. Further enforcement action was taken with this particular premises including advising of what immediate corrective action could be taken to improve food preparation and handling.

Follow-up action and five re-samples were taken for the beef sushi roll, chicken sushi roll and salmon sushi roll including other products from the same premises and were tested for *B. cereus* and all of the pathogens listed in Table 1. All of the re-samples reported satisfactory or marginal results for *B. cereus*. The premises was advised of what corrective action could be taken to improve food preparation and handling.

## **CONCLUSION**

The microbiological quality of the RTE foods surveyed in the ACT is good. Overall the results have improved compared to those found in the previous five years ([Appendix A](#)). Raw results of the analysis are attached at [Appendix B](#). SPC results for 2010-2011 has seen decrease in satisfactory results for level one samples but a slight increase in level two samples. This could indicate poor temperature control, inadequate reheating or the age of products sold.

The overall results for pathogens have remained steady when compared to previous years. Coagulase positive *Staphylococci* and *E. coli* results have improved on previous years, whereas satisfactory *L. monocytogenes* and *B. cereus* have decreased. The percentage of satisfactory samples for *Salmonella* has been very consistent, with none isolated in the last five years. Poor *B. cereus* results can be attributed to two particular premises and the poor *L. monocytogenes* results are due to one premises. In conclusion, the results of this survey overall show a very high level of compliance with the FSANZ RTE Guidelines.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. Guidelines for the microbiological examination of ready-to-eat foods, FSANZ Dec 2001.
2. Microbiological Quality of Ready-To-Eat Foods 2006-2007, ACT Health Protection Service.
3. Microbiological Quality of Ready-To-Eat Foods 2007-2008, ACT Health Protection Service.
4. Microbiological Quality of Ready-To-Eat Foods 2008-2009, ACT Health Protection Service.
5. Microbiological Quality of Ready-To-Eat Foods 2009-2010, ACT Health Protection Service.
6. Foodborne Microorganisms of Public Health Significance, AIFST Inc. Food Microbiology Group.



## APPENDIX A

### COMPARISON TO PREVIOUS SURVEYS: 2006–2007, 2007–2008, 2008–2009 and 2010 -2011

One can be seen from Tables 1 and 2 below that the quality of RTE foods varies depending on the test. The percentage of satisfactory samples in both the SPC Level 1 and 2 categories have improved since the 2006-7 year. SPC results for 2009-2010 has seen improvement in satisfactory results for level one samples but a decrease in level two samples. Whereas results for pathogens tested has improved on previous years.

**Table 1**

**Comparison of Standard Plate Counts (rounded)**

%	Satisfactory					Marginal					Unsatisfactory				
	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11
<b>Level 1</b>	95.0	98.6	90.0	100	85.9	5.0	1.4	8.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	6.2
<b>Level 2</b>	88.0	91.1	90.0	76.5	80.8	12.0	5.1	6.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	3.8	4.0	23.5	11.5
<b>Level 3</b>															

**Table 2**

%	2006-2007				2007-2008				2008-2009				2009-2010				2010-2011			
	Sat	Marg	Unsat	Pot. Haz	Sat	Marg	Unsat	Pot. Haz	Sat	Marg	Unsat	Pot. Haz	Sat	Marg	Unsat	Pot. Haz	Sat	Marg	Unsat	Pot. Haz
<i>E. coli</i>	86	11.0	3.0		93.1	6.5	0.4		94.4	5.0	0.6		95.3	3.5	1.2		97.5	1.9	0.6	
<i>Coagulase +ve Staphylococcus</i>	84	10.1	4.8	3.0	99.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.2	5.8	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Salmonella spp.</i>	100			0.0	100			0.0	100			0.0	100			0.0	100			0.0
<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	97.8	2.2		0.0	99.6	0.4		0.0	100	0.0		0.0	100	0.0		0.0	96.8	3.2		0.0
<i>B. cereus</i>	88.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	93.1	6.9	0.0	0.0	92.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.8	2.7	8.1	5.4

Comparison between the Microbiological Quality indicators

Sat – Satisfactory, Unsat – Unsatisfactory, Marg – Marginal, Pot. Haz – Potentially Hazardous.



Not applicable

## Appendix B

Sample	Level	SPC	E. coli	Staph	Salmonella	L. monocytogenes	B. cereus	Assessment
Tuna wrap	3	700000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Moroccan beef wrap	3	700000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Sundried tomato and pesto pasta salad.	3	3400000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Chicken sushi roll	3	300000	20	<50	Absent	Present	<50	M
Salmon sushi roll	3	340000	40	<50	Absent	Present	<50	M
White Bread	1	100*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken pie	2	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Ham and cheese crispy	2	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Caesar salad	3	1800000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chick pea & tomato salad	3	26000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Baklava	2	180000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	M
Greek burek	2	1500000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	U
Egyptian pastry	2	1600*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Smoked salmon quiche	2	540000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	M
Roasted pumpkin quiche	1	1100000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	U
Thai chicken wrap	3	500000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Ham semi dried tomato and cheese wrap	3	840000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Pesto chicken and sun dried tomato	3	25000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Ham salad sandwich	3	1600000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Silverside, cheese and pickle sandwich	3	390000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Salmon sushi roll	3	1300000	<3	NP	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Pecking duck sushi roll	3	120000*	<3	NP	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Inari roll	3	880000	<3	NP	Absent	Absent	2500	U
chicken sushi roll	3	90000*	<3	NP	Absent	Absent	<50	S
SPC California seafood inari	3	300*	<3	NP	NP	Absent	<50	S
Potato pie	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Pepper steak pie	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Blue berry danish	2	6800	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chiko roll	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken shish kebab	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Steak and mushroom pie	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Curry vegetable samosa	1	150*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Quiche spinach	1	150*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Mexicana pizza	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Salami danish	3	200*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Fresh salad	3	6000000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S

Sample	Level	SPC	E. coli	Staph	Salmonella	L. monocytogenes	B. cereus	Assessment
Primo roast beef	2	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Mango and orange yoghurt	3	100*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chiko roll	1	2600	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Dagwood dog	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Crab claw	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Mini samosa	1	150*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Spring rolls	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken and cashew with fried rice	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Chilli beef with fried rice	1	800*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Pecan tart	2	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Spicy hot dog	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Combination Pizza	1	14000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	M
Quiche	1	18000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	M
Fruit slice	2	1000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Tara Mosalata dip	3	3100	<3	<50	Absent	NP	N/A	S
Tatziki Dip	3	25000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Fresh Falafel	2	40000*	<3	<50	Absent	NP	N/A	M
Passionfruit yoghurt	3	150000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Sweet home made custard rice	2	300*	<3	<50	Absent	NP	<50	S
Tempura prawn sushi roll	3	*65000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Chicken schnitzel sushi roll	3	* 7200	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Beef sushi roll	3	130000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Meat pie	1	*50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Tomato & capsicum quiche	1	*10000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	M
Roast Chicken	1	350*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Egg, Bacon & Potato Salad	3	4000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Tomato & Basil pasta salad	3	10000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Eggplant & shallot dip	3	100*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Sweet potato & cashews dip	3	<5000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Banana and walnut bread	2	11000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Sausage roll	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Samosa	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Roast chicken	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chiko roll	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Honey chicken and fried rice	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Spring rolls	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Dim sim	1	600*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Steak pepper pie	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S

Sample	Level	SPC	E. coli	Staph	Salmonella	L. monocytogenes	B. cereus	Assessment
Chicken tandoori pie	1	150*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Tortellini	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Chicken shish kebab	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Garlic dim sim	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Custard tart	2	300*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Egg sandwich	3	900000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Vegetable samosa	1	420000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	U
Pumpkin and couscous salad	3	520000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Vegetable rice salad	3	270000000	790	<50	Absent	Absent	50000*	PH
Caesar salad	3	800000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Spicy Salmon Inari	3	24000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Squid Salad	3	20000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Quiche	1	400*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Baklava	2	400*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Apple Pie	2	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken sushi roll	3	70000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Tuna ultimate sushi roll	3	260000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	100	M
Chocolate Mousse	2	1600000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	U
Beetroot Dip	3	160000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken nuggets	1	150*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken bites	1	100*	<3	<50	Absent	NP	N/A	S
Cheeseburger	1	2000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Muffin	2	150*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Beef pie	1	250*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Yoghurt and berries	3	140000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Beef lasagne	1	4300000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	U
Beef wrap	3	1900000	6	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	M
Lemon honey chicken strips	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Rissole	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Pork floss bun	1	500	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Fish finger bun	2	200	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Red bean bun	2	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Pecan tart	2	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Potato & steak pie	1	1500*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Seafood salad	3	140000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Hong Kong dim sim	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken & corn cob	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Sweet fermented glutinous rice	3	84000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Fresh soya drink	3	340000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
BBQ pork	2	5900	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
BBQ roast duck	2	35000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S

Sample	Level	SPC	E. coli	Staph	Salmonella	L. monocytogenes	B. cereus	Assessment
Beef brisket	2	1500000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	U
Pork fried rice	1	25000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	M
Seafood fried rice	1	10000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	M
Vegetable noodle chicken	2	27000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Pork spring rolls	1	150*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Pork dim sim	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Egg sushi roll	3	1700000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Fish egg	3	5600000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Spicy salmon inari	3	350*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Pork dumpling	1	500000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	U
Squid salad	3	190000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Salmon sushi roll	3	5700000*	<3	<50	Absent	Present	4400	U
Chicken sushi roll	3	15000000	<3	<50	Absent	Present	5900	U
Beef sushi roll	3	22000000	<3	<50	Absent	Present	16000	PH
Half tuna wrap	3	1100000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Half chicken avocado wrap	3	5300000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Boiled rice	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Daal	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken korma	1	50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Beef vindaloo	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Lamb Curry	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Creamy potato salad	3	1100000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Tabouli salad	3	7200	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Tomato couscous salad	3	100000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chick pea & pumpkin salad	3	49000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Chicken pesto pasta salad	3	18000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Prawn and avocado sushi	3	3000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Teriyaki chicken sushi	3	58000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Chicken shish kebab	1	100*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Spring roll	1	<50	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Lamb gozleme	2	5000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Pumpkin, walnut and pine nut salad	3	1500000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Basil pesto pasta salad	3	15000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
Caesar salad	3	3300000*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Potato salad	3	100000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Fruit salad	3	4800	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Devil wings	1	100*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Spring rolls	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Dim sim	1	50*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
Ham and pineapple pizza	1	700*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S

Sample	Level	SPC	E. coli	Staph	Salmonella	L. monocytogenes	B. cereus	Assessment
Pepperoni pizza	1	300*	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
<i>Salmon sushi roll</i>	3	NP	<3	NP	NP	Absent	NP	S
<i>Chicken sushi roll</i>	3	NP	<3	NP	NP	Absent	NP	S
<i>Beef sushi roll</i>	3	NP	<3	NP	NP	Absent	NP	S
<i>Prawn sushi roll</i>	3	NP	<3	NP	NP	Absent	NP	S
<i>Prawn tempura sushi roll</i>	3	NP	<3	NP	NP	Absent	NP	S
<i>Vegetable rice salad</i>	3	640000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
<i>Cous cous (tomato, cucumber, onion)</i>	3	140000	47	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	M
<i>Caesar salad</i>	3	340000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
<i>Pasta, chicken, broccoli, celery salad</i>	3	2800000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
<i>Spinach and feta pie</i>	1	1200	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	N/A	S
<i>Nigiri</i>	3	14000000	30	250	Absent	Absent	<50	M
<i>Salmon sushi roll</i>	3	10000000	110	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	U
<i>Seaweed sushi</i>	3	480000	27	50	Absent	Absent	<50	M
<i>Inari sushi</i>	3	22000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
<i>Prawn sushi roll</i>	3	1100000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
<i>Seafood sushi roll</i>	3	780000	37	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	M
<i>Eel sushi roll</i>	3	48000000	33	<50	Absent	Absent	50	M
<i>Shrimp sushi</i>	3	90000000	53	<50	Absent	Absent	550	M
<i>Tuna sushi roll</i>	3	43000000	100	<50	Absent	Absent	100	U
<i>Chicken sushi roll</i>	3	2100000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	50	S
<i>Beef sushi roll</i>	3	3700000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S
<i>Chicken sushi roll</i>	3	2100000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	50	M
<i>Salmon sushi roll</i>	3	12000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	650	M
<i>Sushi carrot, cucumber, pickle</i>	3	11000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	300	M
<i>Tuna sushi roll</i>	3	22000000	<3	<50	Absent	Absent	<50	S

Italic results are re-samples, \* = estimate count only, NP = Not Performed, N/A = Not Applicable.